

85(5) : Derivation of the Fine Structure Constant.

The basic hypothesis of paper 18 (Chapt. 20 of vol. 1)

is :

$$\frac{\alpha}{4\pi} = \frac{eA^{(vac)}}{2\hbar c} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where α is the fine structure constant, $A^{(vac)}$ the vacuum potential and κ the wavenumber. In this note this hypothesis is justified using Eq. (3.127) of "The Enigmatic Photon", volume 4 - see Omnia Opera of www.vias.us. This is, for an electron:

$$B^{(0)} = \frac{\mu_0 c e}{A r} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

where :

$$A r = \frac{4\pi}{\kappa^2} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

is the surface area of a photon, modelled as a sphere of radius :

$$r = \frac{1}{\kappa} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

sometimes known as the Thomson radius. The surface area of a sphere of radius r is $4\pi r^2$.

So :

$$B^{(0)} = \kappa A^{(0)} = \frac{\mu_0 c e \kappa^2}{4\pi} \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$\text{--- (6)}$$

and

$$eA^{(0)} = \frac{\mu_0 c e^2 \kappa}{4\pi} = \frac{e^2 \kappa}{4\pi \epsilon_0 c}$$

2)

Reverse:

$$\frac{eA^{(0)}}{\hbar c} = \alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \quad - (7)$$

Finally we:

$$A^{(vac)} = \frac{A^{(0)}}{4\pi} \quad - (8)$$

and:

$$\frac{eA^{(vac)}}{\hbar c} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \quad - (9)$$

QED

Therefore it is possible to derive the fine structure constant if the magnetic flux of one photon is:

$$\underline{\Phi}^{(0)} = A \cdot B^{(0)} = \mu_0 c e \quad - (10)$$

and if the surface area of the photon is defined by its Thomas radius through eq. (3) the meaning of the fine structure constant is that it is the ratio of momenta defined by eq. (7), provided that the photon volume is modelled by a sphere whose surface area is defined by its Thomas radius, $1/\hbar$.

3) In this case the ratio of $\epsilon A^{(0)}$ to $\mathcal{F}K$ is α , a universal constant. Also, for such a photon, its magnetic flux $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ is also a universal constant defined in eq. (10). The fundamental flux is:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(0)} = \mu_0 c e = \frac{e}{\epsilon_0 c} \quad - (11)$$

using: $\mu_0 \epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{c^2}$ — (12)

A justification must now be found for the extra factor 4π in eq. (8). This factor is justified to one part in a million precision by comparison with the electron g factor. Theoretical justification can

be found by assuming that:

$$A^{(0)} = \langle A^{(0)} \rangle = 4\pi A^{(vac)} \quad - (13)$$

The surface area of a sphere with radius r is:

$$S = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\pi r^2 \sin \phi d\phi = 4\pi r^2 \quad - (14)$$

so:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\pi \sin \phi d\phi = 4\pi \quad - (15)$$

and

$$\langle A^{(0)} \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi A^{(vac)}(\theta, \phi) d\theta \sin \phi d\phi \quad - (16)$$

4) This means that a photon of Thomson radius $1/\kappa$ is averaged over θ and ϕ of the spherical polar coordinate system, i.e. $A^{(var)}(\theta, \phi)$ is averaged over all possible orientation. Thus:

$$\frac{e A^{(0)}}{\hbar \kappa} = \alpha = e \frac{\langle A^{(0)} \rangle}{\hbar \kappa} = \frac{4\pi e A^{(var)}}{\hbar \kappa} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\boxed{\frac{e A^{(var)}}{\hbar \kappa} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \alpha} \quad (18)$$

Q.E.D.

Another method of justifying the factor $1/4\pi$ is to use in eq. (11) the definition:

$$\underline{\Phi}^{(0)} := \frac{\mu_0 c e}{4\pi} = \frac{e}{4\pi f_0 c} \quad (19)$$

for a spherical photon of Thomson radius $1/\kappa$. It is known that the quantum of magnetic flux is

$$\underline{\Phi} = \frac{\hbar}{e} \quad (20)$$

$$\text{so } \underline{\Phi}^{(0)} \approx \underline{\Phi} \quad (21)$$

$$\underline{\text{IL fact:}} \quad \frac{e}{4\pi f_0 c} = \alpha \frac{\hbar}{e} \quad (22)$$

$$\text{so: } \boxed{\underline{\Phi}^{(0)} = \alpha \underline{\Phi}} \quad (23) \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$