

## 2(2): Demonstration of Self Consistency of the Theory

This is first demonstrated in flat or Minkowski spacetime and then it is to be noted in  $n$  space.

In flat spacetime the Lagrangian is:

$$L = -mc^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{\underline{r}} \cdot \dot{\underline{r}}}{c^2} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{nmg}{r} \quad (1)$$

and in plane polar coordinates  $(r, \phi)$ :

$$\dot{\underline{r}} \cdot \dot{\underline{r}} = \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 \quad (2)$$

eq (1) is equivalent to:

$$L = -mc^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2}{c^2} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{nmg}{r} \quad (3)$$

The Euler Lagrange equation with Lagrange variable  $\underline{r}$  is:

$$\underline{F} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\underline{r}}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{r}} = \underline{\nabla} L \quad (4)$$

So the force  $\underline{F}$  is the gradient of the Lagrangian.

In general:

$$\underline{\nabla} L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial r} \underline{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \underline{e}_\phi \quad (5)$$

where  $\underline{e}_r$  and  $\underline{e}_\phi$  are the unit vectors of the plane polar system  $(r, \phi)$ . From eq. (1) the relativistic linear momentum is:

$$\underline{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\underline{r}}} = \gamma m \dot{\underline{r}} \quad (6)$$

Therefore the Euler Lagrange eqn (4)

given:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\gamma m \underline{\dot{r}}) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \underline{r}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \underline{e}_\phi \quad (7)$$

In polar coordinates:

$$\underline{\dot{r}} = \dot{r} \underline{e}_r + r \dot{\phi} \underline{e}_\phi \quad (8) \quad (9)$$

$$\underline{\ddot{r}} = (\ddot{r} - r \dot{\phi}^2) \underline{e}_r + (r \ddot{\phi} + 2 \dot{r} \dot{\phi}) \underline{e}_\phi \quad (10)$$

The Lagrangian has no dependence on  $\phi$  so  $\underline{e}_\phi$  (7)

becomes:

$$m \dot{r} \frac{d\underline{\dot{r}}}{dt} + m \gamma \underline{\ddot{r}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \underline{r}} = - \frac{n m G}{r^2} \underline{e}_r$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( m \dot{r} \frac{d\underline{\dot{r}}}{dt} + m \gamma (\ddot{r} - r \dot{\phi}^2) \right) \underline{e}_r \\ & + \left( m \frac{d\underline{\dot{r}}}{dt} r \dot{\phi} + m \gamma (r \ddot{\phi} + 2 \dot{r} \dot{\phi}) \right) \underline{e}_\phi \\ & = - \frac{n m G}{r^2} \underline{e}_r \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

This equation means that:

$$\left( m \dot{r} \frac{d\underline{\dot{r}}}{dt} + m \gamma (\ddot{r} - r \dot{\phi}^2) \right) = - \frac{n m G}{r^2} \quad (12)$$

$$m \frac{d\underline{\dot{r}}}{dt} r \dot{\phi} + m \gamma (r \ddot{\phi} + 2 \dot{r} \dot{\phi}) = 0 \quad (13)$$

and

Eqs. (12) and (13) can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\gamma m \underline{\dot{r}}) = - \frac{n m G}{r^2} \underline{e}_r \quad (14)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\gamma m r^2 \dot{\phi}) = 0 \quad (15)$$

Now consider the Lagrangian (3) w/ Lagrange variables  $r$  and  $\phi$ , giving two Euler Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial r} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \quad (17)$$

Eq. (16) gives:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\gamma m \dot{r}) = -\frac{n m b}{r^2} + m \dot{\phi}^2 \gamma$$

and Eq. (17) gives:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\gamma m r^2 \dot{\phi}) = 0 \quad (19)$$

which are the same as eqs. (14) and (15) P.E.D.  
 The angular momentum is  $\int \gamma m r^2 \dot{\phi} \, d\tau$  spacetime is:

$$L = \gamma m r^2 \dot{\phi} \quad (20)$$

and is a conserved constant of motion:

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = 0 \quad (21)$$

Defining

$$p = \gamma m \dot{r}, \quad (22)$$

the equations of motion are:

$$F = \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{n m b}{r^2} \underline{e}_r \quad (23)$$

and

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = 0 \quad (24)$$

It has been shown in previous work that eqs. (18) and (19) give a precessing orbit.

The Hamiltonian of flat spacetime is:

$$H = \gamma mc^2 - \frac{nMG}{r} \quad (25)$$

where  $\gamma$  is a conserved constant of motion:

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = 0 \quad (26)$$

It follows that:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\gamma mc^2) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{nMG}{r} \right) \quad (27)$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad mc^2 \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{nMG}{r} \right) \frac{dr}{dt} = -\frac{nMG}{r^2} \dot{r} \quad (28)$$

Now use:

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = \frac{d\gamma}{dv} \frac{dv}{dt} = \dot{r} \frac{d\gamma}{dv} \quad (29)$$

and

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dv} = \gamma^3 \frac{v}{c^2} = \gamma^3 \frac{\dot{r}}{c^2} \quad (30)$$

It follows that eq. (28) gives:

$$\underline{F} = m \gamma^3 \ddot{r} = -\frac{nMG}{r^2} \underline{e}_r \quad (31)$$

which is the Newton force in flat spacetime, P.E.D.  
It can be shown as follows that:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\gamma \dot{r}) = \gamma^3 \ddot{r} \quad (32)$$

because:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\gamma \dot{r}) &= \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \dot{r} + \gamma \ddot{r} \\ &= \frac{d\gamma}{dv} \dot{r} \dot{r} + \gamma \ddot{r} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \ddot{r} \left( \frac{dY}{dV} \dot{r} + Y \right) \\
&= \ddot{r} \left( Y^3 \frac{\ddot{r}}{c^2} + Y \right) \quad - (33) \\
&= Y^3 \ddot{r} \left( \frac{V^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{Y^2} \right) \\
&= Y^3 \ddot{r} \left( \frac{V^2}{c^2} + 1 - \frac{V^2}{c^2} \right) \\
&= Y^3 \ddot{r}
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

It follows that eq. (28) leads to eq. (18) in a rigorously self consistent way:

$$\underline{F} = m Y^3 \ddot{\underline{r}} = \frac{d}{dt} (Y m \dot{\underline{r}}) = - \frac{n M G e_r}{r^2} \quad - (34)$$

It has been shown in previous work that eq. (34), solved simultaneously with eq. (19), leads to a precessing orbit.

In the classical limit:

$$Y = 1 \quad - (35)$$

so

$$\underline{F} = m \ddot{\underline{r}} = - \frac{n M G}{r^2} \underline{e}_r \quad - (36)$$

and

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} (m r^2 \dot{\phi}) = 0 \quad - (37)$$

The numerical method has been checked to show that eqs. (36) and (37) produce conic section orbits, Q.E.D.