

360(3) : Conditions under which the Tetrad is a Deltrami Function.

In general the Tetrad postulate is :

$$D_\mu \underline{v}^a = 0 \quad - (1)$$

and in the absence of a magnetic monopole its space part is :

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a_b \times \underline{v}^b = 0 \quad - (2)$$

as shown in recent papers. Eq. (2) implies:

$$\underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^b = \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a_b \quad - (3)$$

Eq. (1) can be rewritten as:

$$(\square + \kappa^2) \underline{v}_\mu^a = 0 \quad - (4)$$

where

$$\underline{v}_\mu^a = (\underline{v}_0^a, -\underline{v}^a) \quad - (5)$$

s.

$$(\square + \kappa^2) \underline{v}_0^a = 0 \quad - (6)$$

and

$$(\square + \kappa^2) \underline{v}^a = \underline{0} \quad - (7)$$

where

$$\kappa^2 = \underline{v}_a^\sim \partial^\mu (\omega_{\mu\nu}^a - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^a) \quad - (8)$$

and

$$\square = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} - \underline{\nabla}^2 \quad - (9)$$

Eq. (2) can always be written as:

$$d) \quad \underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b) = \kappa_0 \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b \quad - (10)$$

where  $\kappa_0$  is a constant. To see this, write:

$$\underline{V}^a = \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b \quad - (11)$$

$$\text{So} \quad \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{V}^a = \kappa_0 \underline{V}^a \quad - (12)$$

It follows that:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{V}^a = \kappa_0 \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{V}^a = 0 \quad - (13)$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{V}^a = \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b = 0 \quad - (14)$$

**QED.** The torsion vector is defined by:

$$\underline{T}^a = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a - \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b \quad - (15)$$

from the first Cartan structure equation. It follows immediately from eq. (2) that:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{T}^a = 0. \quad - (16)$$

More accurately:

$$\underline{T}^a(\text{spin}) = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a - \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b \quad - (17)$$

So:



$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{T}^a(\text{spin}) = 0 \quad - (18)$$

so the spin tensor is a Beltrami function:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{T}^a(\text{spin}) = \kappa_0 \underline{T}^a(\text{spin}) \quad - (19)$$

where  $\kappa_0$  is a constant.

Note carefully that the orbital tensor is not a Beltrami function in general.

From eqs. (18) and (19):

$$(\underline{\nabla}^2 + \kappa_0^2) \underline{T}^a(\text{spin}) = \underline{0} \quad - (20)$$

which is a Helmholtz equation with many interesting solutions for  $\underline{T}^a(\text{spin})$ .

In ECE electrodynamics eq. (19) translates to:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{B}^a = \kappa_0 \underline{B}^a \quad - (21)$$

From eq. (15):

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{T}^a = \underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a) = \underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\omega}^a \underline{b} \times \underline{v}^b) \quad - (22)$$

and using eq. (16):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{T}^a(\text{spin}) &= \underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a) - \kappa_0 \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b \\
 &= \kappa_0 \underline{T}^a(\text{spin}) \\
 &= \kappa_0 (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a - \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b) \quad - (23)
 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a) = \kappa_0 \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a \quad - (24)$$

and

$$\boxed{\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a = \kappa_0 \underline{v}^a} \quad - (25)$$

The space part of the tetrad is a Beltrami function. In ECE electrodynamics eq. (25) translates to:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^a = \kappa_0 \underline{A}^a \quad - (26)$$

It follows that:

$$\boxed{\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v}^a = 0} \quad - (27)$$

and

$$\boxed{(\nabla^2 + \kappa_0^2) \underline{v}^a = \underline{0}} \quad - (28)$$

The space part of the tetrad four-vector obeys Helmholtz wave equation.

from eqs. (3) and (25) it follows that:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a{}_b = \kappa_0 \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \quad - (29)$$

and

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b = 0 \quad - (30)$$

so

$$\boxed{(\nabla^2 + \kappa_0^2) \underline{\omega}^a{}_b = 0} \quad - (31)$$

The spin convention says a Helmholtz wave equation is the wave of a magnetic monopole

Therefore:

$$(\square + \kappa^2) \underline{v}^a = 0 \quad - (32)$$

$$(\nabla^2 + \kappa_0^2) \underline{v}^a = 0 \quad - (33)$$

Eq. (32) is:

$$\left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + \kappa^2 \right) \underline{v}^a = 0 \quad - (34)$$

so

$$\left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \kappa_0^2 + \kappa^2 \right) \underline{v}^a = 0 \quad - (35)$$

Therefore:

$$\boxed{\underline{v}^a = \underline{v}^a(\underline{r}) \exp(-i\omega t)} \quad - (36)$$

6) where:

$$\omega = c (\kappa_0^2 + \kappa^2)^{1/2} \quad - (37)$$

and 
$$\nabla \times \underline{v}^a(\underline{r}) = \kappa_0 \underline{v}^a(\underline{r}) \quad - (38)$$

It follows that:

$$(\square + \kappa^2) \underline{v}^a = \underline{0} \quad - (39)$$

and 
$$(\nabla^2 + \kappa_0^2) \underline{v}^a(\underline{r}) = \underline{0} \quad - (40)$$

where 
$$\underline{v}^a = \underline{v}^a(\underline{r}) \exp(-i\omega t) \quad - (41)$$

The space part of the tetrad,  $\underline{v}^a(\underline{r})$ , obeys a Helmholtz equation and the complete tetrad,  $\underline{v}^a$ , obeys a d'Alembert equation. Eq. (40) is non-relativistic and eq. (39) is relativistic. They lead to the Schrodinger and fermi equations respectively.

The complete tetrad also obeys a Beltrami and Helmholtz equation.

1) A self consistency check may be carried out using the vector identity:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b) = \underline{\omega}^a{}_b (\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v}^b) - (\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b) \underline{v}^b + (\underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{\omega}^a{}_b - (\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{v}^b \quad (42)$$

It follows that

$$\boxed{\kappa_0 \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b = (\underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{\omega}^a{}_b - (\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{v}^b} \quad (43)$$

and

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b = \underline{\nabla} \cdot \left( (\underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{\omega}^a{}_b - (\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{v}^b \right) = 0 \quad (44)$$

In these equations:

$$(\underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{\omega}^a{}_b = v^b_x \frac{\partial \omega^a_{xb}}{\partial x} + \dots \quad (45)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\nabla} \cdot \left( (\underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( v^b_x \frac{\partial \omega^a_{xb}}{\partial x} \right) + \dots \\ &= \frac{\partial v^b_x}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \omega^a_{xb}}{\partial x} + v^b_x \frac{\partial^2 \omega^a_{xb}}{\partial x^2} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$



Similarly:

$$(\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{v}^b = \omega^a{}_{xb} \frac{\partial v_x^b}{\partial x} + \dots - (47)$$

nd:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{v} \cdot \left( (\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{v}^b \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \omega^a{}_{xb} \frac{\partial v_x^b}{\partial x} \right) + \dots \\ &= \frac{\partial \omega^a{}_{xb}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_x^b}{\partial x} + \omega^a{}_{xb} \frac{\partial^2 v_x^b}{\partial x^2} + \dots - (48) \end{aligned}$$

From eqs. (44), (46) and (48):

$$\begin{aligned} v_x^b \frac{\partial^2 \omega^a{}_{xb}}{\partial x^2} &= \omega^a{}_{xb} \frac{\partial^2 v_x^b}{\partial x^2} - (49) \\ &+ \dots + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} (\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b) = \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\nabla} (\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v}^b) - (50)$$

This is true because:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b = 0 - (51)$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v}^b = 0 - (52)$$

RED