

213(9) : Further Internal Inconsistency in the Standard Transformation of the Connection.

The standard transformation of the connection is:

$$\Gamma_{\mu'\lambda'}^{\nu'} = g_{\mu'}^{\mu} g_{\lambda'}^{\lambda} \left(g_{\nu}^{\nu'} \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - d_{\mu} g_{\lambda}^{\nu'} \right) - (1)$$

is the notation of previous notes. However, as in Carroll's note eq. (2.15):

$$g_{\lambda}^{\nu'} = \frac{dx^{\nu'}}{dx^{\lambda}} = \frac{dx^{\nu'}}{dx^{\mu}} \frac{dx^{\mu}}{dx^{\lambda}} - (2)$$

and in an arbitrary manifold:

$$\boxed{\frac{dx^{\nu}}{dx^{\lambda}} = \delta_{\lambda}^{\nu}} - (3)$$

= 0

unless:

$$\nu = \lambda. - (4)$$

This fact shows immediately that the inhomogeneous term is zero unless $\nu = \lambda$.

If eq. (4) is true then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx^{\nu'}}{dx^{\lambda}} &= \frac{dx^{\lambda'}}{dx^{\lambda}} = \frac{dx^{\lambda'}}{dx^{\mu}} \frac{dx^{\mu}}{dx^{\lambda}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} - (5)$$

unless

$$\mu = \lambda - (6)$$

2) So if homogeneous term is zero unless:

$$\mu = \nu = \lambda \quad - (7)$$

This is inconsistent with the fact that it is general:

$$\mu \neq \nu \neq \lambda \quad - (8)$$

The only way to resolve this inconsistency is to use an antisymmetric convention, "which case":

$$\nu \neq \lambda \quad - (9)$$

and

$$\boxed{\frac{dx^{\nu'}}{dx^{\lambda}} = 0} \quad - (10)$$

The standard model uses the idea that there exists a local Lorentz frame in which the spacetime is locally flat. In this locally flat region the connection can vanish. From eq. (1) a zero connection can be obtained in the transformed frame when:

$$g^{\nu'}_{\nu} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda} = d_{\mu} g^{\nu'}_{\lambda} \quad - (11)$$

i.e.

$$\Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda} = \frac{dx^{\nu}}{dx^{\nu'}} \frac{d}{dx^{\mu}} \left(\frac{dx^{\nu'}}{dx^{\lambda}} \right) \quad - (12)$$

$$\Gamma^{\nu'}_{\mu'\lambda'} = 0 \quad - (13)$$

3) However from eq. (10) and eq. (12), for the
arbitrary manifold:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\sim} = 0, - (14)$$

if $\Gamma_{\mu'\lambda'}^{\sim} = 0. - (15)$

If the connection is zero in all frames it is zero
in all frames. This has profound implications
because the idea of Riemann normal coordinates
can no longer be used.

The Einstein equivalence principle depends
on this idea, and must also be abandoned.

The original 1869 definition of the
connection by E. B. Christoffel is similar
to eq. (12). The latter equation would
mean that:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\sim} = ? \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^{\sim} - (16)$$

because $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \left(\frac{\partial x^{\nu'}}{\partial x^{\lambda}} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}} \left(\frac{\partial x^{\nu'}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \right) - (17)$

From eq. (10) it is seen that:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\sim} = \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^{\sim} = 0 - (18)$$

i.e. the symmetric connection is zero.

4) The anti-symmetric connection is the only non-zero connection, and transforms as:

$$\Gamma^{\tilde{\nu}'}_{\mu'\lambda'} = g^{\mu}_{\mu'} g^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} g^{\tilde{\nu}'}_{\tilde{\nu}} \Gamma^{\tilde{\nu}}_{\mu\lambda} \quad - (19)$$

The torsion is defined as:

$$T^{\tilde{\nu}}_{\mu\lambda} = \Gamma^{\tilde{\nu}}_{\mu\lambda} - \Gamma^{\tilde{\nu}}_{\lambda\mu} \quad - (20)$$

and transforms as:

$$T^{\tilde{\nu}'}_{\mu'\lambda'} = g^{\mu}_{\mu'} g^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} g^{\tilde{\nu}'}_{\tilde{\nu}} T^{\tilde{\nu}}_{\mu\lambda}. \quad - (21)$$

The next step is to consider the effect of an anti-symmetric connection on the geodesic equation.
