

III(8): Further Development of the Spherically Symmetric Metric.

Begin with the equation:

$$r = \int dr. \quad - (1)$$

This assumes a spherically symmetric space (r, θ, ϕ) and (X, Y, Z) , and a zero constant of integration:

$$\mu = 0. \quad - (2)$$

The spherically symmetric line element is:

$$ds^2 = -mc^2 dt^2 + n dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad - (3)$$

Assume that n is defined by:

$$nr = \int dr. \quad - (4)$$

and

$$\frac{r}{n} = \int dr. \quad - (5)$$

Integrating eq. (4):

$$nr = r + \mu \quad - (6)$$

and integrating eq. (5):

$$\frac{r}{n} = r + \mu. \quad - (7)$$

$$\text{So: } n = 1 + \frac{\mu}{r}, \quad n = \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{r}\right)^{-1}.$$

- (8)

It is known from satellite data that:

$$2) ds^2 = - \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{r}\right) c^2 dt^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 - (9)$$

with
$$\mu = \frac{2MG}{c^2} - (10)$$

Therefore the data indicate that m and n are indeed defined by eqs. (4) and (5). Furthermore it is known that special relativity is described by:

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 - (11)$$

i.e. by:
$$\mu \rightarrow 0 - (12)$$

and therefore by eq. (1).

Therefore equations of type (1), (4) and (5) are essentially the geometrical reasons for observed orbits. They must therefore be part of a class of topology which has particular significance in physics.

Experimental Departures for Eq. (10)

The only known experimental departures for eq. (10) are the orbits of binary pulsars and the Pioneer / Cassini anomalies. In page 108 it was shown that these orbits are described by:

3)

$$\mu = \frac{2MG}{c^2} + \frac{a}{r} \quad - (13)$$

where a is a small constant perturbation. The latter causes the orbits of a binary pulsar to spiral inward from the trajectories defined by eq. (10).

Using computer algebra (Dr. Horst Eckardt) it was found that the Lie element defined by eq. (13) obeys the Bianchi identity:

$$D \wedge T := R \wedge \eta \quad - (14)$$

because it produces:

$$T = 0, \quad R \wedge \eta = 0. \quad - (15)$$

However, eq. (13) produces:

$$\tilde{T} = 0, \quad \tilde{R} \wedge \eta \neq 0, \quad - (16)$$

so does not obey the Hodge dual identity:

$$D \wedge \tilde{T} := \tilde{R} \wedge \eta. \quad - (17)$$

The reason for this is arbitrary is the use of the symmetric Christoffel connection:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\lambda} \quad - (18)$$

It is also for eq. (13) to self-consistently obey both eqs. (14) and (17) the more

4) general connection:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \neq \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\lambda} \quad - (19)$$

must be used.

It was found that a like element of type (13) produces:

$$R_{\mu\nu} \neq 0 \quad - (20)$$

but $R = 0. \quad - (21)$

Here $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor and R is the Ricci scalar

$$R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}. \quad - (22)$$

If we were to attempt to describe these results with the Einstein field equation we would find several self-inconsistencies. For

example:

1) the field equation would say eq. (14) but not eq. (17). It would have to be written:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = k T_{\mu\nu} \neq 0. \quad - (23)$$

2) the contracted form of eq. (23) would however be zero:

$$R = -kT = 0. \quad - (24)$$

